

Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision

[DELETE](#)

2020-11-13 03:42 AM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Mrs Iva Rustanti Eri,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "Ability Of Chitosan Membrane From Blood Clam (Anadara Granosa) Shells To Removal Iron Level (Fe) In Water" to International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS), a peer-reviewed and an OPEN ACCESS journal that makes significant contributions to major areas of public health science.

Our decision is to ACCEPT with revisions

The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results.

A high quality paper MUST has:

- (1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- (2) the proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/
- (3) results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:

1. Please ensure that: all references have been cited in your text; Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text; The references must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4],

Please download & study our published papers for your references:

- <http://ijphs.iaescore.com>
- <http://ijere.iaescore.com>
- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn>
- <http://iaescore.com/journals> (other journals)

(Please use "Search" menu under "JOURNAL CONTENT" menu in right side of the site)

2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are used in this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

Please submit your revised paper within 6 weeks.

I look forward for hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,
Dr. Lina Handayani
Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
ijphs@iaescore.com

Update your metadata in our online system when you submit your revised paper through our online system, included:

- Authors name are presented without salutation
- Authors Name are presented Title Case (ex: Michael Lankan, and NOT written--> michael lankan or MICHAEL LANKAN). Add all authors of your paper as per your revised paper
- Title of revised paper (ex: Application of space vector , NOT --> APPLICATION OF SPACE VECTOR)
- Your abstract

Reviewer A:

Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:

Yes

Is the paper technically sound?:

Yes

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:

Yes

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:

-

Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?

Yes

Please suggest change of the abstract

:

too long for the background

Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:

Yes

Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:

Yes

Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:

- 9-10 Excellent - Outstanding
- 7-8 Good
- 5-6 Average
- 3-4 Poor
- 0-2 Very Poor
- :
- 6

Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::

Abstract is too long, reduce it for the background.
Suggested backgrounds from general to specific (deductive).
Data analysis was inconsistent between abstracts and methods.
The discussion is still small, lacking in depth, not much compared to previous studies.
There are still many old references, not updating

Reviewer B:

Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:
Yes

Is the paper technically sound?:
No

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:
Yes

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:
Nothing

Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?:
Yes

Please suggest change of the abstract
:

Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:
No

Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:
Yes

Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:

9-10 Excellent - Outstanding
7-8 Good
5-6 Average
3-4 Poor
0-2 Very Poor
:
6

Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::

- 1). Make short sentences into one sentences in abstract (The Sentences 1-2) and clear information about introduction of your study.
- 2). Make a clear information in " Introduction " :
 - (a). The potential absorption "blood shell" heavy metal in other sources data (experimental design, if any).
 - (b). Availability Chitosan membranes to removal of the heavy metal substances by membrane modification likely various concentration formed
 - (c). Urgention to decrease or removal the Fe substances (related to the environmental health aspects)
- 3). Explain the stage or research metode by systematic procedure until get the result data (simple information but complete stage)
- 4). Please listed in Table 1 : The source of Standard ex. Permenkes XXX in additional information from (below of Table)
- 5). Make simple phrase into informative setences to reader (Interpretation of Table 2 linked to Discussion)
- 6). Make a clear information in " Discussion " :
 - (a). Compare your result study with other relevance study (Up to date) about effectiveness of the Fe- removal percentage by modification membranes "various conc."(support the strengthness/benefits of the method that be choosen).
 - (b). The scientific mechanism of Fe-removal using blood shell clam membrane modification
- 7). Please update the latest references (there are still references in the 2000s) and internationally standard become ideally for original paper mostly the minimum of 25 references. References in "introduction and discussion" should be cited from the latest journal (5-8 years) to improve the quality of this article and please avoid using obsolete references.

Reviewer C:

Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:
Yes

Is the paper technically sound?:
Yes

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:
Yes

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:
Ability Of Chitosan Membrane From Blood Clam (Anadara Granosa) Shells To Removal Iron Level (Fe) In Water

Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?:
No

Please suggest change of the abstract
:

The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length, according to the terms of the template

Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:
Yes

Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:
Yes

Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:

9-10 Excellent - Outstanding
7-8 Good
5-6 Average
3-4 Poor
0-2 Very Poor
:
6

Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::

1. Your references are too few, need to add references
2. how to apply the results of research in society, it is necessary to explain in the discussion.
3. the writing system needs to be improved again, adjust it to the standard writing.

see files

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2021-01-13 12:36 PM The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Mrs Iva Rustanti Eri,

We require at least 30 references;
 add 5 more references within 2010-2020 to improve the paper.

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2021-01-19 04:06 AM The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Mrs Iva Rustanti Eri,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "Ability Of Chitosan Membrane From Blood Clam (Anadara Granosa) Shells To Removal Iron Level (Fe) In Water" is ACCEPTED and will be published on the International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS). This journal is accredited SINTA 1 by Ministry of Research and Technology/National Research and Innovation Agency, Republic of Indonesia (RISTEK-BRIN) and just ACCEPTED for inclusion (indexing) in Scopus (<https://suggestor.step.scopus.com/progressTracker/?trackingID=D331D503BA1584BF>). Congratulations!

Please prepare your final camera-ready paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres to every detail of the guide of authors (MS Word: <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>, or <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.rar> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes. Then you should upload your final paper though our online system (as "author version" under our decision, NOT as new submission).

You should submit your camera-ready paper within 6 weeks.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you

Best Regards,
 Dr. Lina Handayani
 Universitas Ahmad Dahlan
ijphs@iaescore.com

Please ensure that all references have been cited in your text. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3,4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5] or [4-8]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:

This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9]."
 Bloom [10] has argued that...
 Several recent studies [7], [9], [11-15] have suggested that...
 ...end of the line for my research [16].....

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 180 (~IDR 2600K). This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD40 (~IDR 580K) per page

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: LINA HANDAYANI
 Bank Name: CIMB NIAGA Bank
 Branch Office: Kusumanegara Yogyakarta
 City: Yogyakarta
 Country : Indonesia
 Bank Account #: 76016415700 (formerly: 5080104447117)
 SWIFT Code: BNIADJAXXX (PT. BANK CIMB NIAGA, TBK. in JAKARTA)

IMPORTANT!!!

- You should submit your payment receipt (along with your camera-ready paper) within 6 weeks to email: ijphs@iaescore.com, cc: linafkm@gmail.com.
- All correspondence should be addressed to the emails (support by phone is not provided).

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>