

Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 31-Aug-2020 10:13 PM MST
 ID: 1377431947
 Word Count: 6235
 Submitted: 1

Similarity Index

93%

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources: 92%
 Publications: 93%
 Student Papers: 7%

A literature review of
 complementary and
 alternative medicine used
 among diabetes mellitus

patients, Anita Joeliantina 1 , 92% match (Internet from 02-Apr-2020)
 Oedojo Soedirham 2 ,
 Mangestuti Agil 3 , M. Bagus
 Qomaruddin 2 , Kusananto K
 By Heruswn Forikes

<https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/300589-a-literature-review-of-complementary-and-4a364862.pdf>

1% match (publications)

[Anita Joeliantina, Oedojo Soedirham, Mangestuti Agil, M. Bagus Qomaruddin, Kusananto Kusananto. "A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among diabetes mellitus patients", International Journal of Public Health Science \(IJPHS\), 2019](#)

< 1% match ()

<https://www.neliti.com/publications/300557/determinants-of-food-safety-practices-among-food-handlers-in-selected-food-estab>

[International Journal of Public Health Science \(IJPHS\) Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019, pp. 277~286 ISSN: 2252-8806, DOI: 10.11591/ijphs.v8i2.16537 ? 277 A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among diabetes mellitus patients Anita Joeliantina1, Oedojo Soedirham2, Mangestuti Agil3, M. Bagus Qomaruddin2, Kusananto Kusananto4](#)
 1Department of Nursing, Health Polytechnic Ministry of Health, Indonesia
 2Faculty of Public Health, Airlangga University, Indonesia 3Faculty of Pharmacy, Airlangga University, Indonesia 4Faculty of Nursing, Airlangga University, Indonesia Article Info ABSTRACT Article history: Diabetes is a chronic disease that requires regular and sustainable health management that involves proper treatment. Some diabetic patients use Revised Mar 20, 2019 complementary and alternative medicine (CAM), as well as conventional medicine, to maintain their health and control their blood sugar. Accepted Apr 13, 2019 This literature review aimed to 1) Determine the prevalence of CAM used by patients with diabetes, summarize and evaluate the CAM use that includes: Keywords: characteristics, patterns, types and reasons. 2) Propose a conceptual model associated with CAM used by patients with diabetes. A Literature review Alternative medicine, was searched using an electronic database and published between 2005 and Complementary medicine, 2015 by using specific keywords. The number of literature review obtained Diabetes mellitus, as a search result is 17 articles from 14 countries. The prevalence of CAM Pattern use CAM used by patients with diabetes ranged from 16.6% to 76%. Determinants associated with the CAM use were age, gender, family income, Traditional medicine occupation, residence, and

the characteristics of the disease, such as the length of time since diagnosed and complications. Most patients used CAM, along with the conventional treatment, and did not inform health professionals about the CAM use. The CAM use by patients with diabetes was relatively high and the confidence of patients believed the benefits of CAM. Therefore, integration with health professionals to develop CAM management is highly required. Copyright © 2019 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved. Corresponding Author: Anita Joeliantina, Nursing Program at Health Polytechnic Ministry of Health Surabaya, Jl. Mayjend. Prof. Dr. Moestopo No 8 C Surabaya, Indonesia. E-mail address: nita.mukhlash@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION Diabetes Mellitus (DM), as a chronic disease, is a group of metabolic diseases with a hyperglycemia characteristic that occurs due to abnormalities in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both [1-2]. DM is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide and the prevalence keeps increasing. The prevalence of diabetes in the world for adults (aged 20-79 years) was 6.4% or about 285 million adults in 2010, and will increase to 7.7%, approximately 439 million adults in 2030. Between 2010 and in 2030, there is a 69% increase in the number of adults with diabetes in developing countries and 20% increase in developed countries [3]. The main danger of DM is that it causes either acute or chronic complications. Acute complications include hypoglycemia, ketoacidosis, and a diabetic coma. Chronic complications are caused by high levels of blood glucose (hyperglycemia), including microvascular and macrovascular complications. Microvascular complications include nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy, while macrovascular [Journal homepage: https://www.iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPHS](https://www.iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPHS) 278 ? complications include atherosclerosis and cardiac ischemia that can create the risk of morbidity, mortality, and disability by 2-4 times in patients with diabetes mellitus [1, 4]. Approximately 70-80 percent of patients with diabetes mellitus died of vascular disease as a complication of diabetes [2]. Treatment for type 2 diabetes should be conducted in a sustainable manner for a long period. Patients with type 2 diabetes can take not only conventional medicine, but also complementary medicine as a complement of the conventional treatments that have been carried out. There is a tendency growing all over the world that patients with type 2 diabetes use or choose Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) in order to improve their health status. Complementary medicine is often used in conjunction with conventional medicine but not as a substitute for conventional medicine. Meanwhile, alternative medicine is used as replacement of conventional treatment [4-8]. Of the patients who suffer from chronic diseases, diabetes is the highest CAM users which are 63%, followed by 42.7% of RA, 26.2% of HIV, and 7.7% of epilepsy [9]. Patients with diabetes are ranked as the second for CAM users, which is 62.1% after hypertension (63.8%), followed by migraine, chronic obstructive disease, gastrointestinal tract disease, and rheumatoid arthritis in using CAM [10]. NCCIH categorizes CAM into three main domains: natural products, mind and body practices, and other complementary health approaches. Natural products include a variety of products, such as herbs (also known as botanicals), vitamins and minerals, and probiotics. They are widely marketed, available to consumers, and often sold as a dietary supplement. The mind and body practices include a large and diverse group of procedures or techniques that are given or taught by trained practitioners or instructors, such as: yoga, chiropractic and osteopathic manipulation, meditation, massage therapy, acupuncture, and relaxation techniques. Other approaches to complementary medicine comprise the traditional medicine practices, Ayurvedic medicine, Chinese traditional medicine, homeopathy, and naturopathy [11]. The objectives of this article are to (a) Determine the prevalence, summarize, and explore to the behavior of using complementary and alternative medicine including: characteristics, patterns, types and reasons for the use in

patients with type 2 diabetes based on various theories and research. (b) Propose a conceptual model associated with the use complementary and alternative medicine by patients with diabetes.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This literature review was searched by using an electronic database such as Pro-Quest, Google Scholar, PubMed, Science-Direct, and hand-searching. The literature review used are articles published from 2005-2015. Keywords used to search were complementary and alternative medicine, diabetes mellitus, traditional medicine, and the pattern of complementary and alternative medicine. Literature works collected were as many as 280 abstracts subsequently selected according to inclusion criteria preset to compile this literature review. The inclusion criteria in this study were studies that explored CAM use by diabetic patients published between 2005-2015. Exclusion criteria were studies published before 2005, not using English, and types of qualitative research. From the search found 17 articles corresponding quantitative research. The review selection process can be seen in Figure 1. Initial citation lists from all databases by key words, n = 280 excluded: no abstract, initial screening of abstract not related to CAM, not fitting key terms of inclusion criteria, n = 218 Full-text articles assessed for eligibility, n = 62 (independently). Excluded: kualitatif study, not behavior of using CAM A literature reviews included in the review: n=17

Figure 1. Selection process of literature review ? 279

3. LIMITATIONS OF REVIEW

This review includes research that focuses on the behavior of CAM use by diabetics. This review is not a literature review of active compounds from herbs, the efficacy and safety of CAM, but only research on the behavior of diabetic patients in using CAM. Formal quality assessment to determine which research was used independently. Some studies that shown design bias, selection and measurement were included because they provide useful insights both in terms of definitions of terms or because they provide information about disclosing the behavior of diabetic patients using CAM.

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1. The prevalence of cam use

The results of this literature review describe the prevalence of CAM use of various countries. Most studies used cross-sectional study/survey with various periods, ranging from 1 month to 2 years. The prevalence of CAM use still varies, ranging from 16.6% in Jordan up to 76% in the Srilangka [4, 8]. This variation is due to many factors that influence CAM use by patients with diabetes, the definition of CAM, and the survey method used by researchers. Figure 1 shows the prevalence of diabetic patients who use CAM from 14 countries, where the prevalence of the CAM use by patients with diabetes was over 40% in 12 countries so that it can be said that the prevalence of the CAM use by patients with diabetes is relatively high [4-5, 12-21]. The prevalence of CAM use in this literature review is consistent with other literature reviews showing that the range of the prevalence of CAM use by patients with diabetes is from 17% up to 72.8% [22].

4.2. CAM use patterns

CAM use patterns are behaviors that show a tendency to diabetic patients using CAM as a complement to conventional treatment being undertaken. In this literature review, the patterns of CAM use shown by diabetic patients are:

- Mostly, CAM is used in conjunction with conventional medicine/allopathy.
- It does not change their treatment, both in the treatment schedule or doses.
- Reducing one dose or more of conventional treatment.
- Using conventional medicine and CAM in different times, and
- Stopping taking the conventional medicine when using CAM [12-13, 18, 23-25].

Diabetic patients, most use CAM as a complementary or supplementary to their conventional treatment [8, 23, 26], and small percentage use CAM only [8]. Diabetic patients use at least one type of CAM modalities, or combining two or more of the CAM modalities [15, 19, 22-23]. Of all patients with diabetes in Sri Lanka using CAM, 49 patients used one type of CAM, 86 patients used the two types, 52 used three types, and 6 patients used more than 3 different types [4]. Over 60% of patients with diabetes who used CAM modalities did not inform their doctors or health professionals. Only 24.6% - 45% did so [12,

16, 18, 20, 22, 25-26]. Someone who states that at least 63% of the general population does not disclose the CAM use therapies to their doctors because: a) They have never thought of it. b) They feel the CAM use is secure, so there is no need to discuss its use. c) Health care professionals do not ask about their using CAM. d) Health professional will prevent the CAM use. e) There is not enough time to discuss the CAM use. f) Health professionals do not have adequate knowledge about CAM [7, 13]. Meanwhile, patients with diabetes who informed their decision to use CAM have received relatively negative responses from health professionals, namely a) They state that it is entirely patients business and offers not to comment on the CAM use. b) They warn the possible side effects of the CAM use. c) They discourage patients to consume. d) Just few health professionals encourage them to use CAM [22, 27].

4.3. Individual characteristics Gender, age, education, income, religion, marital status, and family size are the determinants predicted to affect diabetic patients to use CAM. Table 1 shows that female respondents use CAM more than men, but as many as six research shows that gender is not significantly associated with the CAM use [12, 15, 18-19, 22-23]. Meanwhile, 5 studies show a significant relationship between sex and the CAM use [4-5, 14, 16, 20]. The patients with diabetes at age in this review were mostly over 40 years old. The age category varies from each study. The age of diabetic patients showing a significant effect on the CAM use was only found in the two studies, namely, in Taiwan and in the US [14, 22]. Prevalence of use of CAM by diabetic patients from several countries shown in Figure 2. 280 ? Figure 2. Prevalence of use of CAM by diabetic patients from several countries These results illustrate that age is not a dominant factor that affects a person on CAM use. Only four studies showed that education that has a significant influence on CAM use by patients with diabetes [13-15, 26]. Likewise, high income also showed a significant effect only in 4 studies [5, 12, 20, 26]. Characteristic of the disease in this literature is limited only to the suffering duration and complications. Suffering duration is not significantly associated with CAM use. It can be seen in studies conducted to Iranian $p=0.64$, Sydney $p=0.603$, Palestinian $p=0.874$, and $p=0.64$ Thailand [12, 18-19, 23]. Meanwhile, the study results showing a significant relationship between suffering duration of diabetes and the CAM use were a study in Bahrain ($p=0.008$), Turkey ($p=0.0001$), and Beirut: the longer the duration of diabetes the higher were the odds of CAM use with patients diagnosed for a period over 15 years having the highest odds (OR=3.37, CI=1.56-7.29) [15-16, 27]. The suffering duration is associated with the CAM use because, suffering a chronic disease, the patients might get bored with conventional medicine. The relationship between diabetes complications and the CAM use has been inconsistent. There are two studies showing a relationship between complications and the CAM use, in Iranian ($p=0.05$) and Bahrain ($p=0.00002$) [16, 23]. Three studies show that there is no relationship between the diabetes complications and the CAM use [4, 18-19]. Diabetes complications are significantly related to the CAM use, based on studies conducted in Beirut, Lebanon [27]. Patients with neuropathic complications use CAM more than those without neuropathy [28].

Table 1. Research report on the use of CAM by patients with diabetes

Author,	Country	N	Method	Time frame	Prevalence of Use	rate	sampling design
(Moolasarn and Ms, 2005)	Thailand						
(Kumar, Bajaj and Mehrotra, 2006)	India						
(Bell et al., 2006)	US						
(Ceylan et al., 2009)	Turkey	159	493	31.004	DM	2.479	No DM, 28.526
		371					Yoga 46%,
							Unchanged form of herbal
							December medicine 42.1%,
							changed form of
							Cross sectional 2003 to 47.8% Past 3 herbal medicine 25%,
							Acupuncture survey, January (N=76) months
							/Acupressure 10.5%, Mental therapy
							2004 7.9%, diet supplementary 5.3%, oil message 2.6%, others 1.3%
							aware of Cross sectional CAM,
							Naturopathy 97.3% (n=325), Ayurveda
							study, systematic 1999- 2001 67.7% and 16.2% (n=54), Homeopathy
							12.9% (N= 334) currently (n=43), Acupressure 2.7% (n=9),
							sampling

using [others 3.0% \(n=10\)](#). CAM [Data from NHIS Cross sectional study, survey questionnaire](#) Data from 72.8% within NHIS 2002 (N=1.781) the past year January 41% 2006 to [\(N=152 December F=104 NM 2006 M=48\)](#). [Self- prayer 61.2%, other prayer 39%, prayer group 16.2%, natural product 15.7%, massage 3.1%, relaxation techniques 12.7%, others Herbal preparation 88.1%, Acupuncture and meditation practises 5.3%, herbal preparation and folk medicine practices 3.3%, herbal ? 281](#) Author, Method & Prevalence of Use rate Country N sampling design Time frame CAM use of CAM [Type of CAM preparation and acupuncture medication 3.3% Natural product Natural product with using:](#) (Khalaf & Cross sectional 63% The - alternative and medical Withord, 402 study, (N=252 previous practices n=80.32%, 2010), convenience NM F=149 12 - mind-body interventions Bahrain sampling M=103) months [n=24.10% - manipulative and body based n=78.31% - energy therapy n=8.3%](#) (Hasan, et 230 Case-control 49.6% al., 2011), study, random NM N=144 NM Malaysia sampling F=54 M=60 ([Hsiao-yun, Wallis and Tiralongo, 2011](#)), [Taiwan A cross sectional 326 survey, structure interview, simple random sampling](#) July 2006 and February 2007 Before: 22.7% (N=74) After: 61% (N=197) The previous 12 months ([Wazaify, et al., 2011](#)), [Jordan \(Kim, et al., 2011\), KNDP, Korea 1000 Cross-sectional survey, random sampling March 2009 to September 2009 16.6% N=166 F=99 M=67 NM 2752 Cross sectional study, hospital electronic database KNDP 2005 and 2009 24.6% \(N=677 F=290 M= 387\). The past 1 year up until the time of their initial enrollment \(Ali-Stayeh, Jamous and Jamous, 2012\), Palestinian 1883 Cross-sectional study, random sampling August 2010 until May 2011 51.9% N = 977 F=519 NM M=457 \(Manya, Champion and Dunning, 2012\), Sydney \(Ching et al, 2013\), Malaysia \(Fan et al., 2013\), Singapore \(Mitha, et al., 201\)3, Malaysia \(Medagama A cross- sectional survey, two stage sampling design A cross- sectional study, random sampling method A Cross- sectional 304 descriptive design, convenience sampling Cross-sectional 256 study, convenience sampling 252 A cross, June and August 2008 46.3% N=32 F=15 M=17 NM May 2011 62.5% N=150 F=96 M=54 NM NM 43.4% N=132 F=67 M=64 NM August 2012 to N=256 The past October F=164 3 months 2012 M=92 April and 76% NM \[Vitamin 57.9%, ginseng 12.3%, yoga 7.9% Use of CREAM after being diagnosed with diabetes: Acupuncture 6.7%, chines herbal medicines 27.9%, nutritional supplement 41.1%, diet modification 13.2%, non -chines herbs 3.4%, cupping, scraping 6.4%, manipulative-based therapy 13.5%, folk therapies 0.6%, bio-field therapy 9.2%, bio-electromagnetic based therapies 10.1%, supernatural healings 11.0%, mind-body therapies 3.7% Herbal 93.4%, the plants in the form of infusion 93.9% \\(green tea, aniseed, ginger, chamomile, sage, fenugreek, nigella, black-seed, white lupin, germander, garlic, cinnamon, olive leaves\\) Red ginseng, herbal medicine, silkworm, others The main herb as CAM: Trigonella berythea 19.6% \\(n=191\\), Rosmarinus officinalis 13.5% \\(n=132\\), Teucrium capitatum 11.4% \\(n=111\\), Cinnamomun zeylanicum 10.8% \\(n=105\\), others plan 44.7% \\(n=438\\) Other CAM modalities: Prayer 70% \\(n=684\\), vitamins and mineral 9.9% \\(n=97\\), exercise 9.2% \\(n=90\\), others \\(n=11\\) The most commonly used CAM to treat diabetes: Multivitamin 40%, Cinnamon 25%, Co-enzyme Q10 25%, Prayer 25%, others Biological based therapy like herbal products 80% \\(n=120\\), manipulative and body-based systems 14.7% \\(n=22\\), alternative medical system 7.3% \\(n=11\\), energy therapies 14% \\(n=21\\), mind-body interventions 2.7% \\(n=4\\) Nutritional supplements 55.3% \\(n=73\\), Chinese herbal medicine 29.5% \\(n=39\\), massage 28% \\(n=37\\) TCM 31%, supplement 18%, TIM 14%, Faith healing 10%, Nutritional therapy 9%, others Bitter gourd 50.8% \\(n=128\\), Ivy gourd 282 ? Author, Method & Use rate Country N sampling design Time frame PrCevAalMenucseeof of CAM Type of CAM et al., 2014\\), Sri Lanka sectional survey, randomly selected \\(Naja, et al., 2014\\), Beirut \\[333 Cross-sectional study August 2012 August 2010 and January 2011 N=192\\]\\(#\\)\]\(#\)](#)

leaves 44.8% (n=113), crepe ginger F=139 leaves 36.5% (n=92), salacia reticulate M=53 6.7% (n=17), fenugreek 2% (n=5) 38% Folk food and herbal 81%, natural N=127 NM product 28%, spiritual healing 11.8%, vitamin and mineral 3% (Hashempur Cross sectional 75 .3% Herbal preparations 97.7% (n=176)), et al., 2015), 239 study, June to September N=180 The last cupping 8.3% (n=15), Acupuncture Iranian convenient 2011 F=123 year sampling M=56 2.7% (n=5), mind-body 0.55% (n=1). N=number of sample, NM=not mentioned, F=female, M=male

4.4. Types of CAM

CAM widely used by patients with diabetes and found in this literature review, as shown in Table 1, are herbs, vitamins, and prayers. In the US, the most widely used CAM by patients with diabetes are herbs, supplements (vitamins and minerals), and mind body therapies [7]. Overall, Table 1 shows the types of CAM used by many diabetic patients in many countries. In Turkey many uses herbal preparations such as *Origanum vulgare*, pomegranate syrup, stinging nettle (nettle leaf), dog rose (*rosa canina*), Chervil, Cinnamon, and bitter almond [15]. In Bahrain, the natural ingredients used are garlic, bitter melon, cinnamon, and fenugreek. *Trigonella berythea*, *Rosmarinus officinalis*, *Teucrium capitatum*, and *Cinnamomun zeylanicum* are four main herbs that are widely used by patients with diabetes in Palestine, out of 100 plants from 44 botanical families. In Sydney, herbs used are cinnamon, garlic, *gymnena slyveste*, fenugreek, and American ginseng. Herb used in Malaysia is bitter gourd, cat's whiskers, garlic, Sabah snake grass, basil leaf, and ginseng. Bitter gourd, crepe ginger, ivy gourd, fenugreek, and *Salacia reticulate* are herbs used by patients with diabetes in Sri Lanka. In Iranian, 4 main herbs used are cinnamon, ginger, fenugreek, and *camellia sinensis*. From these studies, it can be concluded that the most widely used herbs are cinnamon, garlic, bitter gourd, ginseng, fenugreek, ginger, and nutritional supplements [4-5, 15-16, 18-19, 23].

Chronic diseases, such as diabetes, are associated with decreasing quality of life, and psychological problems, such as anxiety and depression. Mind-body therapies have a psychological effect that can help patients cope with the disease and improve their mood and quality of life. Patients with diabetes, based on the clinical trials, have shown improved quality of life and stress reduction with yoga and tai chi. The intensity of yoga and tai chi practices has been categorized as a low to medium intensity. During the clinical trials with controls, either yoga or tai chi consistently shows a significant long-term improvement in controlling blood glucose or A1C [7]. The safety CAM use is important for patients who take these medications, as well as for health care professionals, especially because of the growing popularity of CAM use by diabetics. A considerable percentage of diabetes patients who used CAM in conjunction with conventional medicine rather than as a substitute. This situation allows for side effects interactions of CAM with medical treatment, so this should be considered [28].

4.5. Resources of CAM

The informational beliefs are due to the direct, positive experiences of information sources about the CAM use. Information sources about the CAM use, mostly obtained from a friend, are shown by 5 studies, namely, the one in Beirut, another in Singapore (57.44%), another in Malaysia (32.1%), and the other in India (36.4%) [5, 13, 20, 26-27]. Meanwhile, the information sources that come mostly from families are shown by 3 studies, namely, one study in Palestine (40.2%), another in Jordan (41%), and the other in Taiwan (36%) [18, 21-22]. Other sources of information are obtained from the media and CAM practices.

Conclusion derived from the sources of information is that friends are the main source of information that can affect diabetic patients to use CAM.

4.6. Reason for using CAM

CAM users expressed a variety of reasons why they are using CAM. This literature review will outline those various reasons. Diabetic patients' reasons on using CAM is the quality and safety of CAM (63.2%), an additional treatment for their diabetes (53.5%), family history in using CAM (21.9%), fewer side effects of CAM (20.2%), allopathic treatment failure (16.7%), and some other reasons [26]. A belief that CAM can

control diabetes, the good example of other CAM users, the ease of getting CAM, and the affordable price are also the reasons for diabetic patients to use CAM [5]. The diabetic patients' strongest ? 283 belief in using is that CAM is safer (n=178, 69.5%); CAM has few side effects (n=201, 78.5%); CAM will maintain their health (n=212, 82.8%),, and CAM is chosen because allopathic medicine is less effective [31]. There is also a belief that CAM has a synergistic effect with conventional medicine [23]. Explains that the reasons for diabetic patients using CAM are to control diabetes (24.9%), to treat complications (3.2%),, and mostly, (71.9%) other conditions associated with health [22].

5. DISCUSSION

Diabetes is a chronic condition that requires both lifestyle and knowledge modification in order to acquire and apply skills that will enable effective self-care activities on a long-term basis. Type 2 diabetes is a chronic disease that requires regular and sustainable health management that involves proper treatment [22, 29]. Patients with diabetes, besides using conventional treatments, also use complementary and alternative medicine to supplement the medication. There is a worldwide growing trend that diabetic patients use complementary and alternative medicine in order to improve their health status. The results of the analysis of several studies in this review shows that there are several determinants associated with the CAM use, namely, the characteristics of the individuals (age, gender, marital status, ethnicity, education level, family income, place of residence, and sources of information) and the characteristics of diabetes (types of diabetes, duration of diagnosis, complications, complaints, and family history). The CAM use by patients with diabetes as an attempt to improve their health status can be evaluated from the types of medicine selected, patterns of use, and the reasons for using CAM. The types of CAM selected refer to NCCIH (2015) stating that they are a product of nature, mind and body practices, and other complementary health approaches. CAM patterns of use include the first time of using CAM (before/after diagnosis),, the number of the types of CAM used, schedule of CAM use, and a notification to healthcare officers [20, 22]. The reasons for using CAM by patients with diabetes vary such as the impact on health status, benefits, side effects, ease access and costs, the development of the incidence of complications, and experiences of the previous treatment [5, 26-27, 30]. The health status of diabetic patients showing efficacy of the CAM use was evaluated on a satisfaction using CAM and the patient's health status. Patients' satisfaction in using the CAM includes efficacy and safety of CAM selected. Health status includes the quality of life and the regulation of blood glucose (blood sugar levels and A1C). Schematically, the relationship between the determinants and the CAM use by patients with diabetes can be seen in Figure 2. Factors of social support and individual beliefs affect diabetic patients in deciding whether to use CAM or not [22]. It is generally believed that social support is crucial to encourage someone to have positive health behavior and conduct an effective treatment in acute and chronic diseases [31]. Family support also influences a person to choose complementary and alternative medicine on the types of biological treatment and the manipulation of the body [32]. Family support for T2DM patients who use herbs is to give permission to use herbs, remind time of health control, provide information about herbs, and help prepare herbal preparations [33]. People with positive attitudes toward CAM and those with high family support are more likely to use CAM and more involved in self-care behaviors [22]. This literature review illustrates that the CAM is used as a complementary treatment. Although the prevalence of CAM users still vary,, it remains necessary to have enough information about the efficacy,, safety,, and ways of presentation for CAM users. The majority of diabetic patients who use CAM do not tell doctors or health workers. The reasons why diabetic patients CAM users do so are that health professionals would prevent the CAM use and that they do not have adequate knowledge about CAM. From those reasons, it is necessary for the government to set health policy on the CAM use in

health facilities [22]. This literature review still has various limitations. The limitation is that this review is based on a variety of research using sampling techniques and sample size varied, so that the significance level obtained is very relative influenced by both. The absence of variable that shows how much social support especially from families and health professionals in the use of CAM, so that patients can determine or make decisions appropriately in using both CAM type, frequency, and time use. Evaluation of the use of CAM is required to determine the effectiveness of using CAM. Such an evaluation can be seen in terms of the satisfaction of the use of CAM or the health status of patients using CAM. 284 ? Figure 3. Conceptual model of the use of CAM by patients with diabetes 6.

IMPLICATION The CAM use by patients with diabetes can have implications for either the patient as an individual, healthcare professionals and government as a decision maker. Complementary and alternative medicine in Indonesia has been regulated in the Regulation of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia number 1109 / MENKES / PER / IX / 2007 concerning the Implementation of Alternative-Complementary Medicine in Health Service Facilities. CAM is categorized as complementary, alternative, and integrative, depending on how a person uses the CAM. If someone is using CAM in conjunction with conventional treatment, they will use complementary medicine. This literature review illustrates that the CAM is used as a complementary treatment. Although the prevalence of CAM users still vary, it remains necessary to have enough information about the efficacy, safety, and ways of presentation for CAM users. The majority of diabetic patients who use CAM do not tell doctors or health workers. The reasons why diabetic patients CAM users do so are that health professionals would prevent the CAM use and that they do not have adequate knowledge about CAM. From those reasons, it is necessary for the government to set health policy on the CAM use in health facilities [17]. It is also necessary to improve health professionals' knowledge of integrative medicine. The Integration of various practices, therapies, and beliefs in CAM with conventional healthcare provides great potential for a better healthcare system, such as expanding treatment options, increasing the satisfaction of patients and providers, and providing better therapies [34]. The combination of conventional and complementary medicine often produces better results than conventional therapy alone, especially the reduction of negative side effects from the treatment. Synergy on integrative treatments in many clinical situations would offer various benefits, including the acceleration of the recovery from surgery, reduction on the dependency on drugs, and the reduction of side effects [34]. 7.

CONCLUSION CAM can be defined as complementary medicine, alternative, and integrative depending on the patients that use it. CAM is widely used by people with chronic diseases. Diabetes mellitus as a chronic disease requires special attention in terms of treatment and management. One treatment that is chosen by the patient with diabetes is CAM. In this review, the diabetic patients use CAM as complementary and alternative medicine, but not as integrative medicine. Further research is needed on CAM as an integrative treatment in diabetic patients. Medical pluralism gives an insight into the use of conventional treatments and CAM systems that are widely used by patients with chronic diseases. CAM widely used by patients with diabetes is natural products. Therefore, further research is required to reveal the efficacy and safety of the CAM use. The successful use of CAM can be evaluated on the regulation of blood glucose. Furthermore, CAM management as an integrative treatment by healthcare professionals is also required. For this purpose, this literature review offers a conceptual model as a framework for further research on the CAM use. ?

285 **REFERENCES** [1] American Diabetes Association AD, Li R, Zhang P, Barker L, Chowdhry F, et al. Standards of medical care in diabetes, 36 Suppl 1: S11-66, 2013. [2] International Diabetes Federation, Diabetes Atlas., Seventh edition 2015. [3] Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Res

Clin Pract. 2010. [4] Medagama AB, Bandara R, Abeysekera RA, Imbulpitiya B, Pushpakumari T. Use of complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs) among type 2 diabetes patients in Sri Lanka: A Cross sectional survey. *BMC Complement Altern Med*, 14(1), 2014. [5] Ching SM, Zakaria ZA, Paimin F, Jalalian M. Complementary alternative medicine use among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the primary care setting: a cross-sectional study in Malaysia. *BMC Complement Altern Med*, 13(1):148, 2013. [6] Khalil SHA, Zaki A, Ibrahim AM, El-Moughazi AM, Khater AM, Youssef AM, et al. Pattern of use of complementary and alternative medicine among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Alexandria, Egypt. *J Egypt Public Health Assoc*, 88(3):137–42, 2013. [7] Birdee GS, Yeh G. Complementary and alternative medicine therapies for diabetes: A Clinical Review. *Clin Diabetes*, 28(4):147–55, 2010. [8] Vishnu N, Mini GK, Thankappan KR. Complementary and alternative medicine use by diabetes patients in Kerala, India, *Glob Heal Epidemiol Genomics*, 2, e6, pp 1-7, 2017. [9] Bhalerao MS, Bolshete PM, Swar BD, Bangera TA, Kolhe VR, Tambe MJ, et al. Use of and satisfaction with complementary and alternative medicine in four chronic diseases : A cross-sectional study from India, *Nati Med J India*, 26(2), pp. 75–8, Maret-April, 2013. [10] Mollaoğlu M, Aciyurt A. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among patients with chronic diseases. *Acta Clin Croat*, 52(2):181–8, 2013. [11] National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Complementary, Alternative, or Integrative Health: What's In a Name? Nccih. 2015. [12] Moolasarn Summana, et al., Usage of and Cost of Complementary/Alternative Medicine in Diabetic Patients, *J Med Assoc Thai*, Vol. 88, No. 11, pp. 1630-7, 2005. [13] Kumar D, Bajaj S, Mehrotra R. Knowledge, attitude and practice of complementary and alternative medicines for diabetes. *Public Health*, Vol. 120(8), pp. 705–11, 2006. [14] Bell RA, Suerken CK, Grzywacz JG, Lang W, Quandt SA, Arcury TA. Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults with diabetes in the United States. *Altern Ther Health Med*. Vol 12, No. 5, pp. 16-23, 2006. [15] Ceylan S, Azal Ö, Taşlipinar A, Türker T, Açıkel CH, Gulec M. Complementary and alternative medicine use among Turkish diabetes patients. *Complement Ther Med*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 78–83, 2009. [16] Khalaf AJ, Whitford DL. The use of complementary and alternative medicine by patients with diabetes mellitus in Bahrain: A cross-sectional study, *BMC Complement Altern Med*, 10(35), pp. 1-5, 2010. [17] Hsiao-yun AC, Wallis M, Tiralongo E. Use of Complementary and Alternative Medicine among People with Type 2 Diabetes in Taiwan: A Cross-Sectional Survey, *Evid - Based Complement Altern Med*, pp 1-8, 2011. [18] Ali-shtayeh MS, Jamous RM, Jamous RM. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice Complementary and alternative medicine use amongst Palestinian diabetic patients. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*, 18(1):16–21, 2012. [19] Manya K, Champion B, Dunning T. The use of complementary and alternative medicine among people living with diabetes in Sydney. *BMC Complement Altern Med*, 12(1):2, 2012. [20] Fan PEM, Chan MF, Chan YL, Koh SLS. Patterns of complementary and alternative medicine use among a group of patients with type 2 diabetes receiving outpatient care in Singapore, *Int J Nurs Pract*, 19(SUPPL.3):44–55, 2013. [21] Villa-caballero L, Morello CM, Chynoweth ME, Prieto-rosinol A, Polonsky WH, Palinkas LA, et al. Ethnic differences in complementary and alternative medicine use among patients with diabetes. *Complement Ther Med*, 18(6):241–8, 2010. [22] Chang H-YA, Wallis M, Tiralongo E. Predictors of complementary and alternative medicine use by people with type 2 diabetes. *J Adv Nurs*, 68(6):1256–66, 2012. [23] Hashempur MH, Heydari M, Mosavat SH, Heydari ST, Shams M. Complementary and alternative medicine use in Iranian patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Integr Med*, 13(5):319–25, 2005. [24] Chang H, Wallis M, Tiralongo E. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among people living with diabetes: literature review. *J Adv Nurs*, 58(4):307–19, 2007. [25] Canaway R, Manderson L, Oldenburg B. Perceptions of benefit of complementary therapy use among

people with diabetes and cardiovascular disease, *Forsch Komplementarmed*, 21(1):25–33, 2014. [26] Hasan SS, Loon WC, Ahmadi K, Ahmed SI, Bukhari NI. Reasons, perceived efficacy and factors associated with complementary and alternative medicine use among Malaysian patients with diabetes mellitus. *Br J Diabetes Vasc Dis*, 11(2):92–8, 2011. 286 ? [27] Naja F, Mousa D, Alameddine M, Shoab H, Itani L, Mourad Y. Prevalence and correlates of complementary and alternative medicine use among diabetic patients in Beirut, Lebanon: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Complement Altern Med*, 14(1):1–11, 2014. [28] Kim H.J., Chun K.H., Kim D.J., Han S.J., Kim Y.S., W., J.T., Park Y., Nam M.S., Baik S.F., Ahn K.J., Lee K.W., [Utilization patterns and cost of complementary and alternative medicine compared to conventional medicine in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 93: 115-122, 2011](#) [29] Fabian E, Töschler S, Elmadfa I, Pieber TR. Use of complementary and alternative medicine supplements in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Ann Nutr Metab*, 58(2):101–8, 2011. [30] Funnell MM, Brown TL, Childs BP, Haas LB, Hoseney GM, Jensen B, et al. National standards for diabetes self- management education. *Diabetes Care*. 2012. [31] Mitha S, Nagarajan V, Gohar M. Reasons of using complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) among elderly Malaysians of Kuala Lumpur and Selangor states : An exploratory study. *J Young Pharm*, 5(2):50–3, 2013. [32] Chew BH, Ming KE, Chia yook C. Social support and glycemic control in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Asia Pac J Public Health*, 27(2):166–73, 2011. [33] Honda K, Jacobson JS. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among United States adults: The influences of personality, coping strategies, and social support, *Prev Med (Baltim)*, 40(1):46–53, 2005. [34] Mann, JD., Gaylord, SA., and Norton, SK., *Integrating Complementary & Alternative Therapies With Conventional Care (The Convergence of Complementary, Alternative and Conventional Health Care: Educational Resources for Health Professionals)*, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Program on Integrative Medicine. 2004 ISSN: 2252-8806 [Int. J. Public Health Sci.](#) ISSN: 2252-8806 ISSN: 2252-8806 Int. J. [Public Health](#) Sci. [ISSN: 2252-8806](#) ISSN: 2252-8806 [Int. J. Public Health Sci.](#) ISSN: 2252-8806 ISSN: 2252-8806 Int. J. [Public Health](#) Sci. [ISSN: 2252-8806](#) ISSN: 2252-8806 [Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#) [A literature review of complementary and alternative medicine used among... \(Anita Joeliantina\) Int. J. Public Health Sci. Vol. 8, No. 2, June 2019: 277 – 286](#)